

1  
2  
3  
4  
Entered on Docket  
June 09, 2017

*Greg W. Zive*  
Honorable Gregg W. Zive  
United States Bankruptcy Judge



6  
7 DAVID M. POITRAS P.C. (CA Bar No. 141309 – admitted pro hac vice)  
8 **JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MITCHELL LLP**  
9 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor  
10 Los Angeles, California 90067-4308  
11 Telephone: (310) 203-8080  
12 Facsimile: (310) 203-0567  
13 Email: [dpoitras@jmbm.com](mailto:dpoitras@jmbm.com)

14 Jeffrey L. Hartman #1607  
15 **HARTMAN & HARTMAN**  
16 510 West Plumb Lane, Suite B  
17 Reno, Nevada 89509  
18 Telephone: (775) 324-2800  
19 Facsimile: (775) 324-1818  
20 Email: [notices@bankruptcreno.com](mailto:notices@bankruptcreno.com)

21 Attorneys for Debtor,  
22 Cal Neva Lodge, LLC

23  
24 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**

25  
26 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

27 In re:

28 Case No. 16-51281-GWZ

29 CAL NEVA LODGE, LLC,

30 Chapter 11 Case

31 Debtor.

32  
33 **ORDER FIXING DATES AND OTHER**  
34 **MATTERS REGARDING (1)**  
35 **DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS AND**  
36 **PLANS AND (2) MOTIONS FOR RELIEF**  
37 **FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY**

38  
39 **Hearing Date: June 1, 2017**  
40 **Hearing Time: 1:00 p.m.**

41  
42 The Court conducted a hearing on June 1, 2017, in both Case No. 16-51281-gwz and  
43 Case No. 16-51282-gwz to consider, among other matters, identical or substantially  
44 identical proposed disclosures statements filed in both cases as follows: (1) by Cal Neva

1 Lodge, LLC, debtor in case no. 16-51281-gwz (the “Parent Debtor”), on March 21, 2017;  
 2 (2) by Leslie P. Busick, Paul Jameson, David Marriner, Charles and Judith Munnerlyn,  
 3 Anthony Zabit, D4S, LLC, dba Dimension 4, and Paul and Evy Paye (collectively, the  
 4 “Busick Proponents”) on May 21, 2017; and (3) by Ladera Development, LLC (“Ladera,”  
 5 and together with the Parent Debtor and the Busick Proponents, the “Plan Proponents”), on  
 6 March 21, 2017; as well as motions for relief from the automatic stay filed by Hall CA-NV,  
 7 LLC, in Case No. 16-51282-gwz and by Ladera in Case No. 16-51281-gwz (collectively the  
 8 “Stay Relief Motions”). Appearances of counsel were as stated on the record.

9 At the June 1 hearing, each of the Plan Proponents withdrew, without prejudice, their  
 10 respective proposed disclosure statements. Also at the June 1 hearing, the Court established  
 11 a schedule and directed certain other matters, as set forth in this Order, with respect to (1)  
 12 any additional disclosure statements and plans that may be filed in this case and the Parent  
 13 Debtor’s chapter 11 case, and (2) the Stay Relief Motions.

14 For the reasons stated on the record at the June 1 hearing, and good cause appearing,

15 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

16 1. All proposed disclosure statements and related plans (including amendments  
 17 to any previously filed disclosure statements and plans) must be filed no later than July 5,  
 18 2017. Objections to any such timely filed disclosure statement must be filed and served not  
 19 later than July 18, 2017, and any reply must be filed and served not later than July 21, 2017.

20 2. The Court will consider approval of any disclosure statement filed by the  
 21 deadline set forth above at a hearing that will be held on July 25, 2017, at 1:00 p.m. Pacific  
 22 time.

23 3. The confirmation hearing will be held on August 16, 2017, at 10:00 a.m.  
 24 Pacific time on any plan as to which a disclosure statement is approved at the July 25  
 25 hearing. The Court will set, at the July 25 hearing, deadlines relating to solicitation and  
 26 submission of ballots, objections to confirmation, and other matters relating to confirmation.

27 4. Any secured creditor that wishes to make an election under 11 U.S.C.  
 28 § 1111(b) with respect to any plan that relates to a disclosure statement filed by the deadline

1 set forth herein must do so on or before August 4, 2017.

2 5. The preliminary hearings on the Stay Relief Motions are continued to July  
3 25, 2017, at 1:00 p.m. Pacific time, which shall also be a status conference with regard to  
4 the final hearing on such Motions.

5 6. The final hearing on both Stay Relief Motions shall be held on August 16,  
6 2017, at 10:00 a.m. Pacific time, which shall be an evidentiary hearing.

7 7. All applicable notice periods are hereby shortened to permit filings by the  
8 deadlines set forth herein.

9 8. This Order shall be entered and shall apply in both Case No. 16-51281-gwz  
10 and Case No. 16-51282-gwz.

11  
12 PREPARED AND SUBMITTED BY:

13  
14 /S/ Jeffrey L. Hartman \_\_\_\_\_  
15 Jeffrey L. Hartman, Esq.  
16 HARTMAN & HARTMAN  
and  
17 Peter J. Benvenutti  
18 KELLER & BENVENUTTI  
19 Attorneys for Debtor  
NEW CAL-NEVA LODGE, LLC

20  
21 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

22 /S/ Jeffrey L. Hartman \_\_\_\_\_  
23 Jeffrey L. Hartman, Esq.  
24 HARTMAN & HARTMAN  
and  
25 David M. Poitras  
26 JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MITCHELL LLP  
27 Attorneys for Debtor  
28 CAL-NEVA LODGE, LLC

1  
2 /S/ John D. Fiero  
3 John D. Fiero  
4 Shirley S. Cho  
5 PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP  
Attorney for the Official  
Committee of Unsecured Creditors

6  
7 /S/ Dawn M. Cica  
8 Dawn M. Cica  
9 BLACK & LOBELLO  
Attorneys for THE PENTA  
BUILDING GROUP, LLC

10  
11 /S/ Frank J. Wright  
12 Frank J. Wright  
COATS ROSE, P.C.  
Attorneys for HALL CA-NV, LLC

13  
14 /S/ Jason E. Rios  
15 Jason E. Rios  
FELDERSTEIN FITZGERALD  
16 WILLOUGHBY & PASCUZZI LLP  
Attorneys for LADERA DEVELOPMENT, LLC

17  
18 /S/ William B. Cossitt  
19 William B. Cossitt  
OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE  
20 Trial Attorney for United States Trustee  
Tracy Hope Davis

21  
22 /S/ Alan R. Smith  
23 Alan R. Smith  
Holly E. Estes  
24 LAW OFFICE OF ALAN R. SMITH  
Attorneys for BUSICK PLAN PROPONENTS

25  
26 # # # #  
27  
28

## ALTERNATIVE METHOD Re: RULE 9021

In accordance with Local Rule 9021, counsel submitting this document certifies that the order accurately reflects the court's ruling and that (check one):

4        The court has waived the requirement set forth in LR 9021(b)(1).

5        No party appeared at the hearing or filed an objection to the paper.

6        I have delivered a copy of the proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the  
7        hearing, any trustee appointed in this case and any unrepresented parties who  
      appeared at the hearing, and each has approved or disapproved the order, or failed to  
      respond as indicated below.

Debtor's Counsel:

10  Prepared / Approved the form of this order

11  Waived the right to review the order and/or

12  Appeared at the hearing, waived the right to review the order

13  Matter unopposed, did not appear at the hearing, waived the right to review the order

14  Disapproved the form of this order

15  Did not respond to the paper

*U.S. Trustee:*

17  Approved the form of this order

18  Disapproved the form of this order

19  Waived the right to review the order and/or

20  Did not respond to the paper

21  Did not appear at the hearing or object to the paper

22  I certify that this is a case under Chapter 7 or 13, that I have served a copy of this  
23 order with the motion pursuant to LR 9014(g), and that no party has objected to the  
form or content of the order.

24 || I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

25 | Submitted by:

26 | HARTMAN & HARTMAN

27 /S/ Jeffrey L. Hartman  
28 Jeffrey L. Hartman